

| Proposal Title : | Rezone and Reclassify Land in Greenmeadows Drive, Port Macquarie | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Proposal Summary : | The proposal seeks to rezone and reclassify Lot 139, DP 815514, Greenmeadows Drive, Port Macquarie, as follows: rezone the land from RU1 Primary Production to Part R1 General Residential and Part E2 Environmental Conservation; amend the current 40ha minimum lot size to 450m2 for the proposed R1 General Residential area and 1.2ha for the proposed E2 Environmental Conservation area; apply a maximum building height of 8.5m to the proposed R1 General Residential area; apply a maximum floor space ratio of 0.65:1 to the proposed R1 General Residential area; and reclassify the proposed E2 Environmental Conservation area from operational to community land. | | |
| PP Number : | PP_2015_PORTM_004_00 | Dop File No : | 15/17823 |
| roposal Details | | | |
| Date Planning Proposal Received : | 16-Dec-2015 | LGA covered : | Port Macquarie-Hastings |
| Region : | Northern | RPA : | Port Macquarie-Hastings Counci |
| State Electorate : | PORT MACQUARIE | Section of the Act : | 55 - Planning Proposal |
| LEP Type | Spot Rezoning | | |
| ocation Details | | | |
| Street : Gre | enmeadows Drive | | |
| Suburb : | City : | Port Macquarie | Postcode : 2444 |
| Land Parcel : Lot | 139 DP 815514 | | |
| DoP Planning Offic | cer Contact Details | | |
| Contact Name : | Craig Diss | | |
| Contact Number : | 0267019685 | | |
| Contact Email : | craig.diss@planning.nsw.gov.a | u | |
| RPA Contact Detai | ls | | |
| Contact Name : | Sandra Bush | | |
| Contact Number : | 0265818025 | | |
| | | | |

Contact Name :

Contact Number :

Contact Email :

Rezone and Reclassify Land in Greenmeadows Drive, Port Macquarie Land Release Data Growth Centre : N/A N/A Release Area Name : Regional / Sub Mid North Coast Regional Consistent with Strategy : Yes Regional Strategy : Strategy MDP Number : Date of Release : Residential Area of Release (Ha) 1.50 Type of Release (eg Residential / 1 Employment land) : No. of Lots ۵ No. of Dwellings 25 (where relevant) : n Gross Floor Area : No of Jobs Created : 0 The NSW Government Yes Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment The Department of Planning and Environment's Code of Practice in relation to communications and meetings with lobbyists has been complied with to the best of the Region's knowledge. The Northern Region has not met with any lobbyists in relation to this proposal, nor has the Northern Region been advised of any meeting between other Departmental Officers and lobbyists concerning the proposal. Have there been No meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? : If Yes, comment : Supporting notes Internal Supporting Notes : **External Supporting** Notes : Adequacy Assessment Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a) Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes Comment : The statement of objectives adequately describes the intention of the Planning Proposal in relation to proposed changes to land in Greenmeadows Drive, Port Macquarie. Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b) Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes Comment : The explanation of provisions adequately addresses the intended changes to Port Macquarie-Hastings LEP 2011 in relation to the subject land in Greenmeadows Drive, Port Macquarie. Justification - s55 (2)(c) a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : **1.2 Rural Zones** 1.5 Rural Lands * May need the Director General's agreement

| | | 2.1 Environment Protection Zones |
|---|--|---|
| | | 2.3 Heritage Conservation |
| | | 3.1 Residential Zones |
| | | 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport |
| | | 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils |
| | | 4.3 Flood Prone Land |
| | | 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection |
| | | 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies |
| | | 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements |
| Is the Director Gene | ral's agreement required? | Yes |
| :) Consistent with Stan | dard Instrument (LEPs) O | rder 2006 : Yes |
| I) Which SEPPs have t | the RPA identified? | SEPP No 14—Coastal Wetlands |
| | | SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection |
| | | SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land |
| | | SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 |
| e) List any other natters that need to be considered : | Port Macquarie Urb | an Growth Management Strategy 2011-2031 |
| lave inconsistencies w | ith items a), b) and d) beir | ng adequately justified? Yes |
| f No, explain : | | ne Mid North Regional Strategy, the Port Macquarie Urban Growth gy 2011-2031 and relevant s117 Directions is discussed in detail |
| apping Provided - | s55(2)(d) | |
| s mapping provided? Y | ′es | |
| Comment : | Proposal includes n | LEP map sheets have not been provided at this stage, the Planning naps identifying the land and the proposed changes. These are te for public exhibition purposes. |
| | | proposed reclassification involves a part lot. The planning proposal amended to include a Land Reclassification (Part Lots) Map |
| | | oposed to be reclassified to community prior to exhibition. |
| ommunity consult | showing the land pr | |
| | showing the land pr | oposed to be reclassified to community prior to exhibition. |
| Community consult Comment : | showing the land pr ation - s55(2)(e) ation been proposed? Yes The RPA has identif | oposed to be reclassified to community prior to exhibition. |
| las community consulta | showing the land pr ation - s55(2)(e) ation been proposed? Yes The RPA has identif proposal includes a | roposed to be reclassified to community prior to exhibition. s ried a 28 day community consultation period for the proposal. As the reclassification of Council owned land, this is considered |
| las community consulta Comment : Iditional Director (| showing the land pr ation - s55(2)(e) ation been proposed? Yes The RPA has identif proposal includes a appropriate. | roposed to be reclassified to community prior to exhibition. ied a 28 day community consultation period for the proposal. As the reclassification of Council owned land, this is considered |
| las community consulta Comment :: dditional Director (are there any additional | showing the land pr ation - s55(2)(e) ation been proposed? Yes The RPA has identif proposal includes a appropriate. General's requiremer | roposed to be reclassified to community prior to exhibition. ied a 28 day community consultation period for the proposal. As the reclassification of Council owned land, this is considered |
| las community consulta Comment : dditional Director (are there any additional Yes, reasons : | showing the land pr ation - s55(2)(e) ation been proposed? Yes The RPA has identif proposal includes a appropriate. General's requiremer Director General's require | roposed to be reclassified to community prior to exhibition. ied a 28 day community consultation period for the proposal. As the reclassification of Council owned land, this is considered |
| as community consulta comment : dditional Director (are there any additional Yes, reasons : verall adequacy of | showing the land pr ation - s55(2)(e) ation been proposed? Yes The RPA has identif proposal includes a appropriate. General's requiremer Director General's require | roposed to be reclassified to community prior to exhibition. Tied a 28 day community consultation period for the proposal. As the reclassification of Council owned land, this is considered Its ements? No |
| tas community consulta Comment : dditional Director (are there any additional Yes, reasons : verall adequacy of | showing the land pr ation - s55(2)(e) ation been proposed? Yes The RPA has identif proposal includes a appropriate. General's requiremen Director General's require the proposal | roposed to be reclassified to community prior to exhibition. Tied a 28 day community consultation period for the proposal. As the reclassification of Council owned land, this is considered Its ements? No |

| Rezone and Reclassify Lar | nd in Greenmeadows Drive, | Port Macquarie |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|

| 3. Providing | an adequate | iustification | for the | proposal: |
|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------|-----------|
| J. I TOVIGING | j all aucquate | Justinication | IOI UIG | proposal, |

- 4. Outlining a proposed community consultation program; and
- 5. Providing a project time line.

Council is seeking an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations. As the proposal deals with only matters of local significance, it is recommended that an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations be issued to Council in regard to this matter.

The RPA has provided a project time line which estimates that the LEP will be ready for notification in July 2016. To ensure an adequate period to finalise this matter, a 9 month time frame for completion of the proposal is recommended.

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation **Port Macquarie-Hastings comprehensive LEP 2011 was made in February 2011.** to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

| Need for planning proposal : | The proposal is not the result of any strategic study or report. |
|---------------------------------|--|
| | It is understood that the proposal has resulted from a Council review of its property holdings that identified the undeveloped rural zoned land as surplus to Council needs. |
| | The eastern half of the site is predominantly cleared while a significant stand of native vegetation is located on the western half of the land. A major stormwater channel is also located along the southern boundary of the site. |
| | Council's review of the site has identified that the eastern half of the site is suitable for rezoning for residential purposes. The western vegetated half of the site is proposed to be retained in Council ownership, reclassified to community land and zoned E2 Environmental Conservation to better reflect its nature and characteristics. Council has also identified the need to widen the current 2m stormwater easement along the southern boundary to 15m to ensure all future overland drainage flows can be adequately contained within the easement. A R1 General Residential Zone, a maximum building height of 8.5m, and a maximum floor space ratio of 0.65:1, consistent with the adjoining land to the south and east is proposed. |
| | The Planning Proposal is needed to make the necessary changes to Port Macquarie-Hastings LEP 2011 to facilitate the intended outcomes. |

Consistency with
strategic planningThe proposal is located outside the growth area boundaries identified in the Mid North
Coast Regional Strategy. The land is also not identified as a release area within the Port
Macquarie-Hastings Urban Growth Management Strategy 2011-2031 approved by the
Director General in May 2011.

Council has identified the proposal to be a minor 'infill' development that can be considered consistent with the provisions of its approved Urban Growth Management Strategy. The Mid North Coast Regional Strategy also permits 'reasonable adjustments' to the identified urban growth boundaries through a rezoning process. As the proposal involves only a minor variation to the growth area boundary (which directly adjoins the site to the north, south and east) to include an additional 1.5ha of land, and the land can utilise the existing infrastructure within the area and no adverse environmental impact has been identified, the proposal is considered to be consistent with the Mid North Coast Regional Strategy.

The proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPS and s117 Directions except in relation to the following:

1.2 Rural Zones

The proposal is inconsistent with this Direction as it seeks to rezone land from RU1 Primary Production to R1 General Residential. This inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance due to the small area of land involved (1.5ha) and as the land is not currently or likely to be used in the future for agricultural purposes due to the adjoining residential and environmental areas and its isolation from other farmland.

1.5 Rural Lands

The proposal is inconsistent with the Direction as it unable to satisfy all the principles contained with the SEPP (Rural Lands). This inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance due to:

- the small area of land involved (1.5ha);

- as the land is not currently or likely to be used in the future for agricultural purposes due to the adjoining residential and environmental areas;

- its isolation from other farmland; and

- its consistency with some of the SEPP principles such as the provision of appropriate opportunities for housing and settlement.

4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

The proposal is inconsistent with this Direction of the site contains Class 3 acid sulfate soils and an acid sulfate soils study as not yet been prepared. This inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance as this matter can be adequately addressed at development application stage and as Port Macquarie-Hastings LEP 2011 already contains appropriate provisions requiring the consideration of acid sulfate soils at the development stage.

4.3 Flood Prone Land

The proposal is inconsistent with this Direction as it seeks to rezone land within the flood planning area from RU1 Primary Production to R1 General Residential. This inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance as:

- Council has identified the site as 'flood fringe' with only a low to medium hazard category and that development of the land can be undertaken in accordance with council's adopted flood policy;

- the area of the site being rezoned to R1 General Residential will require only minimal filling to enable development to occur above Council's adopted flood planning level (which is the 1%AEP event plus 900mm freeboard. It is noted that this flood planning level is 400mm higher than the 500mm freeboard recommended in the Floodplain Development Manual and adopted by most councils). Council has estimated that the filling will range from nil at the Greenmeadows Drive road frontage to only 400mm maximum at the rear of the proposed R1 General Residential zone; and

- local overland flooding (stormwater) can be adequately addressed at the development application stage within the 15m easement being provided along the southern boundary. It is also recommended that Council consult with OEH in regard to this matter to confirm the suitability of the site for rezoning and development.

| | 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection This Direction is relevant as the Planning Proposal will affect land that is identified as bushfire prone land. The Direction requires the RPA to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service after a Gateway Determination has been issued. Until this consultation has occurred the consistency of the proposal with the Direction remains unresolved. |
|---|--|
| Environmental social economic impacts : | The site is affected by acid sulfate soils and is identified as being flood prone and bushfire prone (as discussed above). A preliminary contamination report has been completed confirming that the site is suitable for rezoning as no indication of potential contamination has been identified. |
| | The eastern half of the site is predominantly cleared while a significant stand of native vegetation is located on the western half of the land. A major stormwater channel is located along the southern boundary of the site. The Lake Innes Nature Reserve and a SEPP 14 wetland adjoin the site along on its western boundary. The SEPP 14 wetland slightly encroaches into the subject land on the north west corner of the site and will be contained fully with suitable buffers within the area to be zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. |
| | Council proposes to rezone the western half of the site to E2 Environmental Conservation to reflect it existing nature. This zoning would be consistent with other similar lands in the locality adjoining the Lakes Innes Reserve. The western half of the site will also be used as an offset area should it be needed in relation to the clearing of any trees on the eastern portion of the site being rezoned to R1 General Residential. |
| | The scattered vegetation on the eastern portion of the site has not been identified as containing any endangered ecological communities or koala habitat. It is also not considered to constitute any strategic link to significant vegetation. No adverse impact environmental impact has therefore been identified subject to consultation with the Office of Environment and Heritage. |
| | No advarse social or economic impacts have been identified as likely to result from the |

No adverse social or economic impacts have been identified as likely to result from the proposal.

Assessment Process

| Proposal type : | Routine | Community Consultation Period : | 28 Days |
|--|--|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Timeframe to make LEP : | 9 months | Delegation : | RPA |
| Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) c | NSW Aboriginal Land Council Office of Environment and Her NSW Rural Fire Service | itage | |
| Is Public Hearing by the | PAC required? No | | |
| (2)(a) Should the matter | proceed ? Yes | | |
| If no, provide reasons : | Due to the nature of the propos groups be consulted: - Office of Environment and He - NSW Rural Fire Service; and - NSW Aboriginal Land Counci | ritage; | e following agencies / |
| Resubmission - s56(2)(t | b) : No | | |
| If Yes, reasons : | | | |

Identify any additional studies, if required.

If Other, provide reasons :

No further studies are currently considered necessary subject to review of the proposal by the Office of Environment and Heritage, NSW Rural Fire Service and

NSW Aboriginal Land Council.

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

| Document File Name | DocumentType Name | ls Public |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|
| Council Letter Requesting a Gateway Determination.pdf | Proposal Covering Letter | Yes |
| Council report and resolution.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| Planning Proposal - Greenmeadows Drive .pdf | Proposal | Yes |

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

| S.117 directions: | 1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements | |
|--------------------------|--|---|
| Additional Information : | It is recommended that: 1. The Planning Proposal be supported; 2. Consultation with the Office of Environment and Heritage, NSW Rural Fire Service and NSW Aboriginal Land Council be undertaken; 4. The Planning Proposal be exhibited for 28 days; 5. The Planning Proposal be completed within 9 months; 6. That the Secretary's delegate determine that the inconsistencies with s117 Directions 1.2 Rural Zones, 1.5 Rural Lands, 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils, and 4.3 Flood Prone Land are justified as the matters are of minor significance; 7. The Secretary's delegate note the outstanding inconsistency of the proposal with s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection; 8. Prior to public exhibition, the planning proposal shall be amended to include a Land Reclassification (Part Lots) Map showing the land proposed to be reclassified to community; and 9. That a written authorisation to exercise plan making delegations be issued to Council. | |
| Supporting Reasons : | The proposal is supported as it rezones and reclassifies land in accordance with its site characteristics and consistent with the adjoining land uses. | _ |

| Rezone and Reclassify | / Land in Greenmeadows Drive, Port Macquarie |
|-----------------------|--|
| Signature: | bo |
| Printed Name: | Craig Diss Date: 18/12/15 |